

**Zoning Public Hearing
CITY OF AUSTIN
RECOMMENDATION FOR COUNCIL ACTION**



**AGENDA ITEM NO.: Z-7
AGENDA DATE: Thu 06/24/2004
PAGE: 1 of 1**

SUBJECT: C14H-02-0025 – Fannie Walker House. Conduct a public hearing and approve second and third readings of an ordinance amending Chapter 25-2 of the Austin City Code by rezoning property locally known as 902 Olive Street from Family Residence, Neighborhood Plan (SF-3-NP) district to Family Residence, Neighborhood Plan – Historic (SF-3-H-NP) combining district zoning. Historic Landmark Commission Recommendation: To grant Family Residence, Neighborhood Plan - Historic (SF-3-H-NP) combining district zoning. Planning Commission Recommendation: To grant Family Residence, Neighborhood Plan - Historic (SF-3-H-NP) combining district zoning. Applicant: City of Austin. City Staff: Steve Sadowsky, Historic Preservation Office, Transportation, Planning and Sustainability Department, 974-6454.

REQUESTING DEPARTMENT: Transportation, Planning and Sustainability

DIRECTOR'S AUTHORIZATION: Austan Librach

ZONING CHANGE REVIEW SHEET

CASE NUMBER: C14H-02-0025

H.L.C. DATE: March 17, 2003

AREA: 0.111 acre; 4,838 square feet

P.C. DATE: May 14, 2003

APPLICANT: City of Austin

AGENT: Transportation, Planning &
Sustainability Department
(Steve Sadowsky)

HISTORIC NAME: Fannie Walker House

WATERSHED: Waller Creek

ADDRESS OF PROPOSED ZONING CHANGE: 902 Olive Street

ZONING FROM: SF-3-NP

ZONING TO: SF-3-H-NP

SUMMARY STAFF RECOMMENDATION: Staff recommends a zoning change from family residence – neighborhood plan (SF-3-NP) combining district, zoning to family residence-historic – neighborhood plan (SF-3-H-NP) combining district zoning, based upon Historic Landmark Designation Criteria 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, and 12.

HISTORIC LANDMARK COMMISSION ACTION: Recommended a change in zoning from family residence – neighborhood plan (SF-3-NP) combining district, zoning to family residence-historic – neighborhood plan (SF-3-H-NP) combining district zoning, based upon Historic Landmark Designation Criteria 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 9, and 12. Vote: 8-0-1 (Limbacher abstained, Fowler absent).

PLANNING COMMISSION ACTION: Recommended a change in zoning from family residence – neighborhood plan (SF-3-NP) combining district, zoning to family residence-historic – neighborhood plan (SF-3-H-NP) combining district zoning, by consent. Vote: 7-0 (Pratt and Spelman absent).

DEPARTMENT COMMENTS: This application is presented by the City of Austin, Neighborhood Housing and Community Development pursuant to the November 20, 2002 Letter of Understanding between the Austin Revitalization Authority and the City Historic Preservation Office regarding historic designations for four houses in the proposed Juniper Street Historic District.

The house at 902 Olive Street was determined to have high priority for preservation in the Historic Resources Survey of East Austin (2000). Designation of the house is consistent with Goal 1 of the Central East Austin Neighborhood Plan: "Preserve, restore and recognize historic resources and other unique neighborhood features." The Austin Revitalization Authority will rehabilitate the house and re-sell it with a preservation covenant approved by the Texas Historical Commission and the City of Austin.

CITY COUNCIL DATE: June 12, 2003
June 24, 2004

ACTION: First reading only
Second and third
readings.

ORDINANCE READINGS: 1ST 2ND 3RD

ORDINANCE NUMBER:

CASE MANAGER: Steve Sadowsky

PHONE: 974-6454

NEIGHBORHOOD ORGANIZATIONS:

Guadalupe Neighborhood Development Corporation
Robertson Hill Neighborhood Organization
Organization of Central East Austin Neighborhoods

BASIS FOR RECOMMENDATION:

The house and site qualify for historic zoning based on the following City of Austin Historic Landmark Designation Criteria:

(1) Character, interest or value as part of the development, heritage or cultural characteristics of the City of Austin, State of Texas or the United States.

The house at 902 Olive Street is at the core of an early African-American community on Austin's east side. The house was built around 1900 in the neighborhood which developed just east of the turn-of-the century site of Samuel Huston College, a prominent African-American institution.

(2) Recognition as a recorded Texas Historic Landmark, a National Historic Landmark, or entered into the National Register of Historic Places.

The house at 902 Olive Street has been determined eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places by the Texas Historical Commission, and contributes to the proposed Juniper Street National Register Historic District.

(3) Embodiment of distinguishing characteristics of an architectural type or specimen.

Its small size, frame construction, and prominent front porch typify the defining features of housing for working-class families at the turn of the twentieth century in the South. The turned porch posts reflect late Victorian style.

(6) Relationship to other distinctive buildings, sites or areas which are eligible for preservation according to a plan based on architectural, historical or cultural motif.

The house is located adjacent to and contemporaneous with three houses on Juniper Street (900, 902, and 904) which are included in the proposed Juniper Street Historic District, and which are nominated for City Historic Landmark designation.

The house contributes to the proposed Juniper Street National Register Historic District, which encompasses thirteen other structures on Juniper and Olive Streets, and has been identified as an important structures in the proposed historic district.

(7) Portrayal of the environment of a group of people in an area of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style.

This house portrays the environment and standard of living in the African-American community which grew up east of Samuel Huston College around the turn of the twentieth century. The establishment of African-American churches and institutions in the neighborhood made the area desirable for settlement by African-Americans, although few were able to purchase their homes. Bettie White, the earliest known owner of the house, took in boarders and worked as a laundress. Fannie Walker, listed in city directories as residing at this address from 1900 to 1924, was a laundress and domestic. Henry Blair, an engineer, and his wife Victoria, an employee of Austin

Laundry and Dry Cleaning Company, lived in the house from the late 1920s through the 1960s. Henry Blair purchased the property in 1927. He is listed as a porter in the State House of Representatives in 1955.

The 1922 Sanborn map shows the rear addition as a possible secondary dwelling unit. The 1930-31 City directory contains a separate listing for living quarters at the "rear" of this address.

(9) Exemplification of the cultural, economic, social, ethnic or historical heritage of the City, State or the United States.

Refer to (7) above.

(12) A building or structure that because of its location has become of value to a neighborhood, community area, or the city.

The house is at the core of the proposed Juniper Street National Register Historic District, and will be rehabilitated as part of the East Eleventh and Twelfth Streets Redevelopment Plan.

PARCEL NO.: 02080608020000

DEED RECORD: See attached.

ANNUAL TAX EXEMPTION: Not applicable - publicly owned.

APPRAISED VALUE: Not appraised.

PRESENT USE: Vacant

CONDITION: Fair

CONSTRUCTION/DESCRIPTION: One-story side-gabled frame house with an almost-full-width hipped roof independent porch on turned wood posts; the facade has horizontal wood siding, while the sides are board-and-batten. There is a shed-roofed board-and-batten addition of unknown date to the rear and a side-gabled board-and-batten addition of unknown date to the right side.

PRESENT OWNERS

City of Austin NHCD
(Gregory L. Smith)

ADDRESS

P.O. Box 1088, 78767

TELEPHONE

974-3120

DATE BUILT: ca. 1900

ALTERATIONS/ADDITIONS: The house has historic board-and-batten additions to the rear and right side.

ORIGINAL OWNER(S): D.M. Wilson (1872)


OTHER HISTORICAL DESIGNATIONS:

NATIONAL REGISTER: Yes, as contributing to proposed Juniper Street Historic District.

RECORDED TEXAS LANDMARK: No

NATIONAL LANDMARK: No

LOCAL SURVEYS: Yes, medium priority due to deteriorated condition



 1" = 200'	SUBJECT TRACT	HISTORIC ZONING		CITY GRID REFERENCE NUMBER J22
	PENDING CASE			
	ZONING BOUNDARY	CASE #: C14H-02-0025	DATE: 03-02	
	CASE MGR: S.SADOWSKY	ADDRESS: 902 OLIVE ST.	INTLS: TRC	
SUBJECT AREA (acres): N/A				

BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT (BOA)/SIGN REVIEW BOARD (SRB)

November 10, 2003

One Texas Center

505 Barton Springs Road, Room 325

CALL TO ORDER – 5:30 P.M.

____ Herman Thum Chair	____ Barbara Aybar Vice-Chair	____ Frank Fuentes	____ Leane Heldenfels
____ Betty Edgemon	____ Bruce Shelton (SRB Only)	____ Cathy French (SRB Only)	____ Wanda Penn (Alternate)
____ Dorothy Richter (Alternate)	____ Laurie Virkstis (Alternate)	____ Leroy Vaughn (Alternate)	

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

1. Chair calls meeting to order
2. Staff presents the variance request
3. Chair calls on those **FAVORING** the request
 - a. Applicant's presentation (5 minutes)
4. Chair calls on those **OPPOSING** the request
 - a. Presentation (5 minutes)
If more than one wanting to speak, it is suggested one person be selected as spokesperson
5. Applicant is given opportunity to answer objections stated (2 minutes)
Upon the motion of any member and a positive vote by a majority of The Board, or upon a ruling by the Chair, these time limits may be equitably extended.
6. The public hearing may be closed and no further testimony is taken from the public (unless requested by the Chair).
7. Questions from the Board
8. When the public hearing is closed, the Board shall make a recommendation
9. If motion is for approval, findings of fact are stated in support of the approval

CITIZENS WISHING TO SPEAK BEFORE THE BOARD MUST REGISTER BY SIGNING IN WHERE INDICATED BY BOARD SECRETARY.

Any interested party aggrieved by a decision of the Board of Adjustment may appeal the Board's decision to a District Court. The petition must be submitted within ten (10) days after the date the decision is filed in the Board's office (Local Government Code 211.011). Decisions of the Sign Review Board may be appealed to City Council.

POSTED: November 6, 2003

TIME: 3:00 P.M.

POSTPONEMENT/RECONSIDERATION POLICIES

POSTPONEMENT POLICY:

- All postponement requests from the applicant/agent normally should be made in writing by 10:00 a.m. the Friday before the Board meeting. The request should be submitted to the case manager or the Board Secretary on the fifth floor of the One Texas Center, 505 Barton Springs Road. The date and time should be noted on the letter by staff.
- In accordance with the Land Development Code Section 25-1-152, the postponement or continuation of a public hearing does not require additional notice if such postponement or continuance is to a specific date and time no later than 60 days from the date of the hearing for which notice was given.
- The Board shall automatically review and take final action on any case, which has been on its docket for more than ninety (90) days after opening the original hearing thereon, unless the Board has granted an extension.

RECONSIDERATION POLICY:

- Any appeal, which has received final actions, may be reconsidered once by the Board in accordance with the procedure listed below. A request to reconsider may be initiated by any person having standing to bring an original appeal as provided by Rule 603. Requests for reconsideration shall be filed in writing with the Executive Secretary within seven (7) days after the Board's decision.
- A request to reconsider shall state clearly how the Board erred in its determination; why the action should be reconsidered; and shall be supported by such new evidence as was not available at the time of original consideration.
- When a request to reconsider has been properly filed pursuant to Section 1108 of the Rules and Regulations, the Secretary shall place the matter on the agenda of the next month's regular meeting of the Board. The Board shall review the written request for reconsideration at the time and shall, on the basis of the written material submitted by the applicant in support of the request, determine whether the matter shall be reconsidered, because of an error in its original determination or on the basis of the new evidence not presented to the Board at the time of the original hearing, which might affect its determination. The affirmative vote of three (3) members of the Board shall be necessary to grant the applicant a reconsideration, which shall then be heard immediately following the Board's decision to reconsider. Failure of a motion to reconsider shall constitute final action on the matter.

1. Sect. 606A Rules & Regulations
2. Sect. 1005 Rules & Regulations
3. Sect. 1007 Rules & Regulations

5:30 P. M.

EXECUTIVE SESSION (No public discussion)

The Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board will announce it will go into Executive Session, if necessary, pursuant to Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code, to receive advice from Legal Counsel on matters specifically listed on this agenda. The Board of Adjustment/Sign Review Board may also announce it will go into Executive Session, if necessary, to receive advice from Legal Counsel regarding any other item on this agenda.

Private Consultation with Attorney – Section 551.071

A. SIGN REVIEW BOARD PUBLIC HEARINGS

1. C16-03-020 **Richard Smith for Metropolitan Life
6406 North IH-35**

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum number of freestanding signs requirement of Section 25-10-131 (C) and (D) from two freestanding signs to four freestanding signs in a "CS", Commercial Services zoning district (Expressway Corridor Sign district). The Land Development Code allows two freestanding signs for a lot with total street frontage of more than 400 feet or for a lot fronting on two streets.

GRANTED 7-0

2. C16-03-021 **Melissa Whaley for Discount Tire Company
8219 Research Blvd.**

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum height of a freestanding sign requirement of Section 25-10-123(B)(3)(a) from 35 feet above frontage street pavement grade to 50 feet above frontage street pavement grade in order to erect a freestanding sign in a "CS-NP", Commercial Services-Neighborhood Plan zoning district (Expressway Corridor Sign District).

GRANTED 7-0

B. BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT POSTPONEMENTS

1. C15-03-068 **Parshall & Assoc. for COA/Austin Revitalization Authority
905-913 Olive St. and 900-916 Juniper Street**

901-A Olive Street (proposed) The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50 feet to 41' 10 1/8"

(existing); to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 863 square feet (1,741 square feet existing); to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 3 feet; to decrease the minimum side street setback (Branch Street) requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 15 feet to 3 feet; to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement from 10 feet to 3 feet; to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3 feet and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 0 spaces in order to re-subdivide (for making an increase in lot size located at 903 Olive Street to accommodate parking at 903 Olive Street) an existing single family residence tract in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence – Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

901-B Olive Street (proposed) The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50 feet to 19' 8 7/8" (proposed fronting Branch Street); to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 878 square feet (1,741 square feet existing, see proposed 901-A Olive Street); to decrease the minimum front street (Branch Street) setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 3 feet; to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3 feet; to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3 feet; to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement from 10 feet to 3 feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 0 spaces in order to re-subdivide (for making an increase in lot size located at 900 Juniper Street to accommodate parking at 900 Juniper Street) an existing single family residence tract in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence – Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

905 Olive Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50 feet to 41' 10" (existing); to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 2134 square feet; to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% (57% existing) to 60%; to increase the maximum building coverage requirement from 40% (46% existing) to 48%; to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 0 feet (7 3/4" inch encroachment into ROW for steps is existing); to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet (3 feet 9 1/2 inches existing) to 3 feet along the east property line; to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10 feet (7 feet 10 inches existing) to 5 feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 0 spaces in order to re-subdivide and remodel and add to an existing single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

907 Olive Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50' to 41' 10 1/4" (existing) and to decrease the minimum size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 2606 square feet; to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% to 57%; to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-

2-492 (D) from 25 feet (7feet 9 ¼ inches existing) to 6 feet; to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet (4 feet 4 inches existing) to 3 feet in order to remodel and add to an existing single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

909 Olive Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 3,235 square feet; to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% to 46%; to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 6 feet (0 feet to steps); to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10 feet to 5 feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and erect a single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

913 Olive Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 3,432 square feet; to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 6 feet 7 inches to residence and 0 feet to steps; to decrease the minimum rear yard setback from 10 feet to 5 feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and erect a single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

900 Juniper Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 1,797 square feet (existing); to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% (55% existing) to 72% in order to remodel an existing single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

902 Juniper Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 2,078 square feet; to increase the minimum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% (48% existing) to 57% in order to remodel an existing single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

904 Juniper Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 2,526 square feet (existing); to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% (47% existing) to 55%; to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 0 feet along the west property line; and to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10 feet to 4' 6" feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and remodel and erect addition to an existing single family residence in an "SF-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

908 Juniper Street (proposed) – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 3,915 square feet; to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50 feet to 43' 10 ¼" feet (existing); to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 14 feet 9 inches; to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10 feet to 5 feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and erect a single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

910 Juniper Street (proposed) – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 3,426 square feet; to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25' to 17' 11" (existing); to decrease the minimum rear yard setback of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10 feet to 5 feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and remodel and add to an existing single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

912 Juniper Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 2,667 square feet; to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50' to 40' 5 5/8"; to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% to 49%; to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 11 feet (0 feet for steps); to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10 feet to 3 feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 spaces in order to re-subdivide and erect a single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

914 Juniper Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 2,532 square feet; to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50' to 35' 7 3/4"; to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% to 48%; to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 11 feet (0 feet for steps); to decrease the minimum side yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5 feet to 3 feet along the east property line; to decrease the minimum rear setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10 feet to 8 feet; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and erect a single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

916 Juniper Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 3,415 square feet; to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50 feet to 41'

10"; to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% to 46%; and decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 11 feet 11 inches; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and erect a single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

1162 Curve Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 3,864 square feet; to decrease the minimum lot width requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 50' to 41' 10"; to increase the maximum impervious coverage requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 45% to 47%; to decrease the minimum front street (Juniper Street per Section 25-1-21(b)) setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 11 feet 11 inches; to decrease the minimum side street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 15 feet to 5 feet; to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10' to 5' and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and erect a single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

1164 Curve Street – The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum lot size requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 5,750 square feet to 4,814 square feet; to decrease the minimum front street setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 25 feet to 6 feet and 0 feet for steps (steps extend 5" into setback but below ground surface elevation); to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492 (D) from 10 feet to 5 feet 6 inches; and to decrease the minimum off-street parking requirement of Section 25-6 Appendix A from 2 spaces to 1 space in order to re-subdivide and erect a single family residence in an "SF-3-NP", Family Residence-Neighborhood Plan zoning district.

GRANTED 5-0

**2. C15-03-083 Donna P. Endres
901 West 31st Street**

The applicant has requested a variance to decrease the minimum rear yard setback requirement of Section 25-2-492(D) from 10 feet to 0 feet in order to rebuild and add to an existing accessory building to create a two-family residential use in an "SF-3", Family Residence zoning district.

The applicant has requested a variance to increase the maximum impervious cover requirement of Section 25-2-492(D) from 45% to 51% in order to rebuild and add to an existing accessory building to create a two-family residential use in an "SF-3", Family Residence zoning district.

POSTPONED TO DECEMBER 8 MEETING (NO SHOW)

A. APPLICATION FOR HISTORIC ZONING

PROJECT INFORMATION:

DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY:	
APPLICATION DATE: _____	FILE NUMBER(S) _____
TENTATIVE HLC DATE: _____	
TENTATIVE PC or ZAP DATE: _____	
TENTATIVE CO DATE: _____	CITY INITIATED: YES / NO
CASE MANAGER: _____	ROLLBACK: YES/NO
APPLICATION ACCEPTED BY: _____	

BASIC PROJECT DATA:

1. OWNER'S NAME: _____	Byron Marshall
2. PROJECT NAME: _____	902 Olive Street
3. PROJECT STREET ADDRESS (or Range): _____	902 Olive Street, Austin, Texas 78702
ZIP _____	78702 COUNTY: Travis
IF PROJECT ADDRESS CANNOT BE DEFINED ABOVE: LOCATED _____ FRONTAGE FEET ALONG THE <u>N. S. E. W.</u> (CIRCLE ONE) SIDE OF APPROXIMATELY _____ (ROAD NAME PROPERTY FRONTS ONTO), WHICH IS INTERSECTION WITH _____ DISTANCE FROM ITS _____ CROSS STREET.	

AREA TO BE REZONED:

4. ACRES <u>0.111</u>	(OR)	SQ.FT. <u>4,838</u>			
5. ZONING AND LAND USE INFORMATION:					
EXISTING ZONING	EXISTING USE	TRACT# (IF MORE THAN 1)	ACRES / SQ. FT.	PROPOSED USE	PROPOSED ZONING
<u>SF-3-NP</u>	SF Residential		<u>4,838</u>	SF Residential	<u>SF3-NP-H</u>
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

RELATED CURRENT CASES:

6. ACTIVE ZONING CASE? (YES / <u>NO</u>)	FILE NUMBER: _____
7. RESTRICTIVE COVENANT? (YES / <u>NO</u>)	FILE NUMBER: _____
8. SUBDIVISION? (YES / <u>NO</u>)	FILE NUMBER: _____
9. SITE PLAN? (YES / <u>NO</u>)	FILE NUMBER: _____

PROPERTY DESCRIPTION (SUBDIVISION REFERENCE OR METES AND BOUNDS):

10a. SUBDIVISION REFERENCE: Name: GEORGE L ROBERTSON
 Block(s) _____ Lot(s) 13 Outlot(s) 55
 Plat Book: 144 Page _____ Division "B"
 Number: 419
 10b. METES AND BOUNDS (Attach two copies of certified field notes if subdivision reference is not available or zoning includes partial lots)

DEED REFERENCE CONVEYING PROPERTY TO PRESENT OWNER AND TAX PARCEL I.D.:

11. VOLUME: 144 PAGE: 419 TAX PARCEL I.D. NO. 02080608020000
Docket 2000089311

OTHER PROVISIONS:

12. IS PROPERTY IN A ZONING COMBINING DISTRICT / OVERLAY ZONE? YES / NO
 TYPE OF COMBINING DIST/OVERLAY ZONE (NCCD, NP, etc) CENTRAL EAST AUSTIN NP
 13. LOCATED IN A LOCAL OR NATIONAL REGISTER HISTORIC DISTRICT? YES / NO Proposed Juniper St
 14. IS A TIA REQUIRED? YES / NO (NOT REQUIRED IF BASE ZONING IS NOT CHANGING) Historic District
 TRIPS PER DAY: _____
 TRAFFIC SERIAL ZONE(S): _____

OWNERSHIP TYPE:

15. SOLE COMMUNITY PROPERTY PARTNERSHIP CORPORATION TRUST
 If ownership is other than sole or community property, list individuals/partners/principals below or attach separate sheet.

OWNER INFORMATION:

16. OWNER CONTACT INFORMATION
 SIGNATURE: [Signature] NAME: Gregory L. Smith
 FIRM NAME: COAL-NHCO TELEPHONE NUMBER: 974-3120
 STREET ADDRESS: 505 Barton Springs Road
 CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP CODE: _____
 EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

AGENT INFORMATION (IF APPLICABLE):

17. AGENT CONTACT INFORMATION
 SIGNATURE: _____ NAME: _____
 FIRM NAME: _____ TELEPHONE NUMBER: _____
 STREET ADDRESS: _____
 CITY: _____ STATE: _____ ZIP CODE: _____
 CONTACT PERSON: _____ TELEPHONE NUMBER: _____
 EMAIL ADDRESS: _____

DEPARTMENTAL USE ONLY:

Revised June 30, 2002

D. SUBMITTAL VERIFICATION AND INSPECTION AUTHORIZATION

SUBMITTAL VERIFICATION

My signature attests to the fact that the attached application package is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I understand that proper City staff review of this application is dependent upon the accuracy of the information provided and that any inaccurate or inadequate information provided by me/my firm/etc., may delay the proper review of this application.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT NAME BELOW SIGNATURE AND
INDICATE FIRM REPRESENTED, IF APPLICABLE.

Gregory Smith 2/6/03
Signature Date

Byron Marshall Gregory Smith
Name (Typed or Printed)

Austin Revitalization Authority
Firm (If applicable)

INSPECTION AUTHORIZATION

As owner or authorized agent, my signature authorizes staff to visit and inspect the property for which this application is being submitted.

PLEASE TYPE OR PRINT NAME BELOW SIGNATURE AND
INDICATE FIRM REPRESENTED, IF APPLICABLE.

Gregory Smith 2/6/03
Signature Date

Byron Marshall Gregory Smith
Name (Typed or Printed)

CITY OF AUSTIN
~~Austin Revitalization Authority~~
Firm (If applicable)

E. ACKNOWLEDGMENT FORM

concerning
Subdivision Plat Notes, Deed Restrictions,
Restrictive Covenants
and / or
Zoning Conditional Overlays

I, ~~Byron Marshall~~ Gregory Smith, have checked for subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions,

(Print name of applicant)

restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays prohibiting certain uses and/or requiring certain development restrictions i.e. height, access, screening etc. on this property, located at

902 Olive Street, Austin, Texas 78702

(Address or Legal Description)


If a conflict should result with the request I am submitting to the City of Austin due to subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays it will be my responsibility to resolve it. I also acknowledge that I understand the implications of use and/or development restrictions that are a result of a subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlays.

I understand that if requested, I must provide copies of any and all subdivision plat notes, deed restrictions, restrictive covenants and/or zoning conditional overlay information which may apply to this property.


(Applicant's signature)

2/6/03
(Date)

Sanborn Fire Insurance Maps, Urban Renewal Area, 1921



Historic Landmark Resolution

The City Historic Landmark Commission is hereby resolved to develop a prioritized and proactive program to designate important historic properties in the City of Austin as City Historic Landmarks beginning in fiscal year 2000 - 2001 and continuing through the subsequent fiscal year.


Therefore, the Historic Landmark Commission is resolved to include the following publicly-owned properties in this effort to designate City Historic Landmarks (listed alphabetically, not in order of priority):

- ◆ Browning Hangar, Robert Mueller Airport
- ◆ City Hall, 124 W. 8th Street
- ◆ Connolly-Yerwood House, 1115 E. 11th Street
- ◆ Deep Eddy, 401 Deep Eddy
- ◆ Dickinson House, 501 E. 5th Street (or at relocated site)
- ◆ Haskell House, Clarksville
- ◆ Juniper Street Historic District
- ◆ Oakwood Cemetery
- ◆ Seaholm Power Plant District, including intake structure and oil heating building
- ◆ Zilker Park

Furthermore, the Historic Landmark Commission is resolved to contact owners of privately-owned historic properties within the geographic areas listed below and to notify these owners of the properties' potential eligibility for City Historic Landmark designation to the maximum extent possible:

- ◆ 6th Street Historic District
- ◆ Congress Avenue Historic District
- ◆ Clarksville Neighborhood
- ◆ East Austin Historic Survey area
- ◆ Sites already listed in the National Register of Historic Places
- ◆ Privately owned sites included in Seaholm District Master Plan

Hence, the Historic Landmark Commission directs the City Historic Preservation Office to prepare historic zoning cases for the aforementioned publicly-owned properties and to schedule these cases for public hearings before the City Historic Landmark Commission. The Historic Landmark Commission further directs the City Historic Preservation Office to notify private property owners within the aforementioned geographical areas of properties eligible for City Historic Landmark designation and to assist interested owners in preparing historic zoning application forms.



Chair, Historic Landmark Commission

5.29.2001

Date



902 Olive Street
C14H-02-0025



Historic Landmark Designation Criteria



902 Olive St

To be eligible for historic landmark status, a historic property must meet one or more of the following criteria:

- ✓ (1) Character, interest, or value as part of the development, heritage or cultural characteristics of the City of Austin, State of Texas, or the United States
- ✓ (2) Recognition as a recorded Texas Historic Landmark, a National Historic Landmark, or entered into the National Register of Historic Places
- ✓ (3) Embodiment of distinguishing characteristics of an architectural type or specimen
- (4) Identification as the work of an architect or master builder whose individual work has influenced the development of the city
- (5) Embodiment of elements of architectural design, detail, materials, or craftsmanship which represent a significant architectural innovation
- ✓ (6) Relationship to other distinctive buildings, sites, or areas which are eligible for preservation according to a plan based on architectural, historic, or cultural motif
- ✓ (7) Portrayal of the environment of a group of people in an area of history characterized by a distinctive architectural style
- (8) Archeological value in that it has produced or can be expected to produce data affecting theories of historic or prehistoric interest
- ✓ (9) Exemplification of the cultural, economic, social, ethnic or historical heritage of the city, state or the United States
- (10) Location as the site of a significant historic event
- (11) Identification with a person or persons who significantly contributed to the culture and development of the city, state or United States
- ✓ (12) A building or structure that because of its location has become of value to a neighborhood, community area, or the city, or
- (13) Value as an aspect of community sentiment or public pride